

## **EXHIBIT 5**

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CRIMINAL DIVISION**

FILED  
10/14/2022 1:16 PM  
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ  
CIRCUIT CLERK  
COOK COUNTY, IL  
09CR0642201  
Brosnahan, Mary Margaret  
19899100

ALLEN ROBINSON,	)	
	)	
<i>Petitioner,</i>	)	
	)	No. 09 CR 06422
v.	)	
	)	
STATE OF ILLINOIS,	)	
	)	
<i>Respondent.</i>	)	
	)	

**EXHIBIT M**

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS )  
2 ) SS:  
3 COUNTY OF C O O K )

4 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CRIMINAL DIVISION

5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE )  
6 OF ILLINOIS, )  
7 )  
8 Plaintiff, )  
9 )  
10 v. ) No. 09 CR 06422-01  
11 )  
12 ALLEN ROBINSON, )  
13 )  
14 Defendant. )

15  
16 REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing  
17 in the above-entitled cause, before the HONORABLE  
18 MARY M. BROSNAHAN, one of the Judges of said  
19 Division, on the April 28, 2022.

20  
21 PRESENT:

22 HONORABLE KIMBERLY M. FOXX,  
23 State's Attorney of Cook County, by:  
24 MS. LINDA WALLS,  
Assistant State's Attorney,  
appeared for the People;

MS. JODI GARVEY and MR. PATRICK BLEGEN,  
PRIVATE ATTORNEYS,  
appeared on behalf of Defendant.

CATRICE M. PRINCE, CSR No. 084-003765  
Official Court Reporter

I N D E X

People v. Allen Robinson

Case No: 09 CR 06422-01

Date of Hearing: April 28, 2022

Pages: 1-53

Court Reporter: Catrice Prince, CSR

E X A M I N A T I O N

WITNESSES	PAGE
JENEE MORELAND	
Direct by Mr. Blegen	7
Examination by The Court	14
LATONYA FLEMING	
Direct by Mr. Blegen	21
ALLEN ROBINSON	
Direct by Mr. Blegen	35

1 THE COURT: All right. We are on the record with  
2 People versus Allen Robinson. Good afternoon to you,  
3 sir.

4 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.

5 THE COURT: We've got Mr. Allen Robinson in  
6 custody in the open courtroom, and he's here from the  
7 Illinois Department of Corrections.

8 On behalf of the defendant, can I get your  
9 names, plural, for the record, Counsels?

10 MR. GARVEY: Jodi Garvey and Patrick Blegen.

11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And I know  
12 with respect to COVID, I'll tell you what the rules are  
13 and then you can do whatever you're comfortable with.  
14 If you are speaking in court and you want to remove  
15 your mask, you can absolutely do that. It's up to you.  
16 All right.

17 Counsel for the State.

18 MS. WALLS: Linda Walls, W-A-L-L-S.

19 THE COURT: We are here today to proceed on the  
20 counsels' petition for post-conviction relief and an  
21 evidentiary hearing. Both sides are answering ready,  
22 is that correct?

23 MR. BLEGEN: Yes.

24 MS. WALLS: Yes.

1           THE COURT: Are there any opening remarks that  
2 either side wishes to make?

3           MR. BLEGEN: Just briefly, I won't repeat  
4 everything that's in the petition. I know you are  
5 familiar with the petition. I think the primary focus  
6 of what you are going to hear about today is the  
7 third-party confession letter that did not get admitted  
8 into court into evidence at the trial -- excuse me --  
9 as well as you are going to hear evidence of the  
10 Lamarius Robinson, another individual having confessed,  
11 two other individuals and then alibi presented by  
12 Mr. Allen Robinson's mother, none of which was  
13 presented at the original trial, all of which you will  
14 hear that which information was given to the lawyer.

15                 None of it came out except for the fact of  
16 the confession letter by Lamarius Robinson was  
17 discussed in court but was not presented to the jury,  
18 all of which represents ineffective assistance of  
19 counsel that likely would have resulted in a different  
20 verdict.

21           THE COURT: All right. Counsel for the State.

22           MS. WALLS: Judge, I will waive.

23           THE COURT: You may proceed with your evidence.

24           MR. BLEGEN: And, Judge, I am going to show

1 various witnesses some documents along the way that are  
2 part of the petition and two supplements to the  
3 petition. The State has agreed that all of the  
4 exhibits to the petition are admissible and should be  
5 admitted for purposes of this hearing just so the  
6 record can be cleaner that way we won't have multiple  
7 documents floating around essentially.

8 THE COURT: Just when you say "supplements," are  
9 those exhibits that I have?

10 MR. BLEGEN: So you have filed a supplement, it's  
11 called Exhibits L and M to the petition. It was filed  
12 February 26th of 2015, and then there's a supplement  
13 petition for post-conviction relief filed  
14 January 11, 2018. The main purpose of the second page  
15 is that just references, it does have the DNA results  
16 of the third-party confession.

17 THE COURT: Before you grab your witness, let me  
18 get the full file. I do have, of course, your original  
19 post-conviction. I want to make certain that I've got  
20 the 2/26/15 and 1/11/18 18 filing.

21 MR. BLEGEN: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I do have the  
23 supplement from 2018 that was filed. I would ask, do  
24 you happen to have a copy of the 2/26/15 filing?

1 MR. BLEGEN: I do, Judge. Frankly, that's not  
2 going to get referenced today, but I am happy to give  
3 you a copy.

4 THE COURT: Let me just have it for my court file.  
5 Can you pass it through the clerk. Ms. Clerk, can I  
6 ask you to make a copy for us? Do you need a copy,  
7 State, or you've got everything you need?

8 MS. WALLS: No, I have everything digitally,  
9 Judge.

10 THE COURT: Whenever you are ready, you can  
11 proceed.

12 MR. BLEGEN: Sure. Judge, Petitioner will call  
13 Jeneé Moreland.

14 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you, ma'am. Can you  
15 please raise your right hand for me.

16 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly  
17 sworn.)

18 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You can take a  
19 seat. If you are more comfortable, you are behind  
20 Plexiglass. If you want to pull your mask down or take  
21 it off while you're testifying, you may do that, and  
22 I'll turn the microphones on.

23 All right. Go ahead, sir.

24 MR. BLEGEN: Thank you.



1 JENEE MORELAND,  
2 called as a witness herein, having been first duly  
3 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BLEGEN:

6 Q. Ma'am, could you please tell us your name and  
7 spell first and last name for the court reporter?

8 A. Jenee Moreland, J-E-N-E-E, M-O-R-E-L-A-N-D.

9 Q. And how old are you, ma'am?

10 A. 33.

11 Q. Where do you live generally?

12 A. Say it again.

13 Q. Where do you live generally speaking? We  
14 don't need your exact address.

15 A. Chicago, Illinois.

16 Q. You have any children?

17 A. Yes, one.

18 Q. How many?

19 A. One.

20 Q. What do you do for a living?

21 A. I'm a special ed. assistant.

22 Q. And where do you work?

23 A. Oak Park River Forest High School.

24 THE COURT: Hold on one second. You work in Oak

1 Park, and I couldn't hear you.

2 THE WITNESS: Oak Park River Forest High School.

3 BY MR. BLEGEN:

4 Q. Try to slow down a little bit while you are  
5 speaking.

6 How long have you been a special education  
7 assistant?

8 A. For about 11 years.

9 Q. What is your educational background?

10 A. Associates degree.

11 Q. Do you know the petitioner in this matter,  
12 Allen Robinson?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Do you see him here in court today?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Can you point to him or tell us something he  
17 is wearing?

18 A. He has a blue shirt on.

19 THE COURT: All right. The record will show an  
20 in-court identification of Mr. Robinson.

21 BY MR. BLEGEN:

22 Q. How do you know Mr. Robinson?

23 A. He is the father of my daughter.

24 Q. Was there a point in time that you and

1 Mr. Robinson were in a romantic relationship?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Can you tell us generally what time period  
4 that encompassed?

5 A. On and off from December 15th of '02 to March  
6 of '09.

7 Q. And you told us you have a child together?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. When did your romantic relationship with  
10 Mr. Robinson end?

11 A. March of '09.

12 Q. And why did it end?

13 A. Because I found out he had another child on  
14 the way.

15 Q. And so since that time have you been in a  
16 romantic relationship with Mr. Robinson?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Do you know a person by the name of Lamarius  
19 Robinson?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Who is Lamarius?

22 A. He is Allen's cousin.

23 Q. How do you know Lamarius?

24 A. Through Allen.

1 Q. You say through Allen?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. How long of a period of time did you know  
4 Lamarius?

5 A. I met Lamarius about '05.

6 Q. And when was the last time -- when did you  
7 stop associating with him?

8 A. '08.

9 Q. So approximately three years you knew him?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Let me direct your attention to December 3rd  
12 of 2008, sometime after 9:15 p.m., did you receive a  
13 telephone call from Lamarius Robinson?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What did Mr. Robinson say to you or you say  
16 to him during that call?

17 A. He asked me to pick him up off of Chicago  
18 Avenue.

19 THE COURT: I am sorry, he asked you to pick him  
20 up from where?

21 THE WITNESS: Chicago Avenue and Cicero.

22 BY MR. BLEGEN:

23 Q. Ma'am, I think if you speak a little more  
24 slowly, everybody will have an easier time to

1 understand what you're saying, okay.

2 Did you go and pick Lamarius up?

3 A. I did.

4 Q. And where did you pick him up approximately?

5 A. About Chicago Avenue and Cicero.

6 Q. And did you say anything to him or did he say  
7 anything to you during that car ride?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. What?

10 A. He was nervous. I asked him what was wrong  
11 with him.

12 Q. Did he respond?

13 A. Yeah, he was talking in circles, then he told  
14 me he had just killed someone.

15 Q. What was your response to him saying that?

16 A. I told him he had to get the F out of my car.

17 Q. Did you stop the car?

18 A. Yes, I pulled over.

19 Q. Where?

20 A. On Madison and Cicero.

21 Q. And then any specific location?

22 A. It was a gas station.

23 Q. And did Lamarius get out of the car?

24 A. He did.

1 THE COURT: Did you say what was the address of  
2 the gas station or the cross streets?

3 THE WITNESS: Madison and Cicero.

4 THE COURT: Thank you.

5 BY MR. BLEGEN:

6 Q. Did you tell anyone else about what Lamarius  
7 had said to you?

8 A. I told Allen.

9 Q. Do you recall when you told Allen?

10 A. The next day when I spoke with him.

11 Q. During the time that you knew Lamarius  
12 Robinson, did you become familiar with his handwriting?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. How?

15 A. I saw him fill out a timecard before.

16 Q. Had you seen anything else that he had  
17 written?

18 A. Yeah.

19 Q. Do you recall specifically what you saw?

20 A. I can't recall, no.

21 Q. Let me show you what is part of the report.

22 MR. BLEGEN: For the record that's Allen  
23 Robinson's original petition Exhibit I.

24 Judge, can I approach the witness?

1 THE COURT: You may, sir. You may have continuing  
2 permission with your witness.

3 BY MR. BLEGEN:

4 Q. Ma'am, take a look at Exhibit I here. If you  
5 need to see more pages, let me know.

6 A. That's it.

7 Q. And do you recognize whose handwriting that  
8 is on Exhibit I?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Whose?

11 A. Lamarius Robinson's.

12 Q. You understand that Mr. Robinson went to  
13 trial in the case we are here on now several years ago?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Were you ever -- prior to that trial were you  
16 ever contacted by Mr. Robinson's lawyer about being a  
17 witness?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Were you ever contacted by Mr. Robinson's  
20 lawyer about anything else?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Had you been called as a witness at Allen  
23 Robinson's original trial, would you have testified?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. Would you have testified consistently with  
2 what you've testified to here today?

3 A. Yes.

4 MR. BLEGEN: That's all the questions, Judge.

5 EXAMINATION

6 BY THE COURT:

7 Q. Were you present at the trial at all?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. How many days of the trial did you attend?

10 A. I remember --

11 Q. Did you attend all of the days of the trial?

12 A. Yes, I was there.

13 Q. Did you ever tell your attorney -- or tell  
14 your Mr. Allen Robinson's attorney that you wanted to  
15 testify? Was there any conversation at all?

16 A. No, it was no conversation.

17 Q. Did you ever tell him that you had  
18 information that was important to the case and that you  
19 knew Lamarius did it because he admitted it to you?  
20 Did you ever pull him aside and tell him that?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Why?

23 A. I told Allen and that was it.

24 THE COURT: Any further questions, counsel or



1 defense?

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. BLEGEN:

4 Q. Did defense counsel ever approach you during  
5 the trial?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Did you ever have any understanding as to why  
8 you were not called as a witness?

9 A. I didn't understand it, but I didn't question  
10 it.

11 MR. BLEGEN: That's all, Judge.

12 THE COURT: All right. Cross.

13 MS. WALLS: No cross, Judge.

14 THE COURT: All right. You may step down.

15 MR. BLEGEN: Judge, can I tell Ms. Moreland she is  
16 free to leave if no one else has any other questions?

17 THE COURT: State, you have no use for Ms.  
18 Moreland?

19 MS. WALLS: Yes, Judge.

20 THE COURT: You may release your witness.

21 MR. BLEGEN: Thank you.

22 Next, we are proceeding by way of  
23 stipulation.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. GARVEY: The parties would stipulate that if  
2 called to testify, Quentin Davis would testify that he  
3 is 34 years old and currently resides at the Crossroads  
4 Adult Transition Center in Chicago, Illinois.

5 Davis would further testify that he's  
6 currently completing service at the custodial portion  
7 of his sentence for second degree murder in the case of  
8 People v. Davis, number 09 CR 16822 for which he was  
9 sentenced to 30 years imprisonment.

10 Davis is currently enrolled in the work  
11 release program at Crossroads.

12 Paragraph 2. Davis would testify that he has  
13 a prior conviction for unlawful vehicular invasion from  
14 2003 for which he was sentenced to seven years in the  
15 custody IDOC.

16 3. Davis would testify that he grew up on  
17 the west side of Chicago. Davis would further testify  
18 that he's known both Allen Robinson and Lamarius  
19 Robinson since they were all about five years old. He  
20 has been very close with both of them, and it would be  
21 hard to say which one he is closer to as they are both  
22 like family to him.

23 4. Davis would further testify that on  
24 December 3rd, 2008, at approximately 9:15 p.m., he was

1 at his grandmother's house in the area of 15th Street  
2 and Lawler in Chicago when he received a phone call  
3 from Lamarius Robinson. Lamarius asked Davis to pick  
4 him up at a gas station at Madison and Cicero.

5 THE COURT: May I stop you, 15th and what?

6 MR. GARVEY: Lawler.

7 THE COURT: Lawler, thank you. Go ahead. At a  
8 gas station. Go ahead.

9 MR. GARVEY: 5. Davis would testify that he went  
10 to the gas station with his then girlfriend in her car  
11 and picked up Lamarius. They all drove back to Davis'  
12 grandmother's house. Once Lamarius was in Davis'  
13 girlfriend car, Lamarius seemed very upset. Davis  
14 would testify that Lamarius showed Davis a chrome and  
15 black .45 caliber handgun with the slide lock back,  
16 meaning the gun was empty.

17 6. Davis would testify that once in the car,  
18 Lamarius stated words to the effect of, Man, I just  
19 fucked up. I tweaked. I ain't never did this shit  
20 before. Davis would further testify that Lamarius  
21 admitted he had just killed someone, and that's what he  
22 meant when he said he had never did this shit before.

23 7. Davis would testify that Lamarius stated  
24 that he was on Lawler Street when he saw 13, who Davis

1 knows to be Christopher Hanford coming out of a house  
2 or a gangway. Lamarius told Davis that he had tried  
3 shooting someone else earlier but could not get him so  
4 he shot 13 instead.

5 Davis would testify that Lamarius stated that  
6 he ran up on 13 and shot him several times.

7 8. Davis --

8 THE COURT: Just slow down a smidgen. Give me one  
9 second to catch up to you.

10 MS. WALLS: Judge, we have a copy to present to  
11 the Court for the court file.

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I will take that.  
13 Do you have a copy right now?

14 MR. GARVEY: I can give you this one.

15 THE COURT: Why don't you finish reading it. I  
16 will still take notes.

17 MS. WALLS: I have it digitally too so I can give  
18 you my signed copy.

19 THE COURT: Thank you.

20 MR. GARVEY: Paragraph 8. Judge?

21 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Go right ahead.

22 MR. GARVEY: Davis would further testify that  
23 Lamarius told him that after the shooting he called  
24 Jenee Moreland to pick him up, and then he called Davis

1 to meet him at the gas station.

2 9. Davis would testify that after Lamarius  
3 told Davis what he had done, Allen Robinson came to  
4 Davis' grandmother's house. Allen and Lamarius began  
5 to argue about the shooting of 13. Davis would testify  
6 that the shooting happened in Allen's area around 16th  
7 Street and that Allen was upset that he was going to  
8 get blamed for the shooting.

9 Davis would testify that Allen yelled at  
10 Lamarius that you ain't got to be over there. The  
11 people over there think it's me. The argument became  
12 so heated that Davis made them both leave his  
13 grandmother's house.

14 10. Davis would testify that he was shown a  
15 letter addressed to him at the Cook County Jail, which  
16 he would identify as attached as affidavit Exhibit C to  
17 Allen's post-conviction petition.

18 Davis would testify that it was written by  
19 Lamarius, which he could tell from the handwriting, and  
20 that it had Lamarius' name and address on the envelope.

21 Davis would testify that he is familiar with  
22 the Lamarius' handwriting and signature from other  
23 letters and from knowing him for so long.

24 11. Davis would testify that while he was in

1 the Cook County Department of Corrections awaiting  
2 retrial on his gun case, he began providing information  
3 to and cooperating with the Cook County Sheriff's  
4 Office within the jail.

5 12. Davis would further testify that he  
6 provided information and cooperated in numerous  
7 investigations both for the Cook County sheriff and the  
8 Cook County State's Attorney's Office.

9 Davis testified at two trials on the State's  
10 behalf. Davis also testified at a reliability hearing  
11 before Judge Ursula Walowski was found to be credible  
12 and reliable. So stipulated.

13 MS. WALLS: So stipulated.

14 THE COURT: And we did have an issues discussion  
15 that I will go into a little bit further during the  
16 course of the proceedings, but with respect to this  
17 affidavit, it was related to me that Mr. Davis had also  
18 provided a false affidavit on a different  
19 post-conviction and that was information unknown to the  
20 State at the time and unknown to Judge Walowski before  
21 she made any finding of credibility or reliability, is  
22 that correct?

23 MS. WALLS: In our investigation we did reveal an  
24 affidavit from Quentin Davis in another case in another

1 courtroom. Speaking with him in an interview on that,  
2 he indicated that he, in fact, signed that and it was  
3 not true and he takes responsibility for signing that  
4 affidavit and not being true.

5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Counsel.

6 MR. BLEGEN: Judge, we are going to call Latanya  
7 Fleming who I will get.

8 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ma'am. Can you please  
9 raise your right hand for me.

10 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly  
11 sworn.)

12 THE COURT: Thank you. Please take a seat. If  
13 you are comfortable doing so, you can take your mask  
14 off. You are behind a Plexiglass screen. While you  
15 are testifying, I just ask if you can keep your voice  
16 up if you could. Go ahead, sir.

17 LATANYA FLEMING,  
18 called as a witness herein, having been first duly  
19 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. BLEGEN:

22 Q. Ma'am, could you please state your name and  
23 spell your first and last name for the court reporter?

24 A. Latanya Fleming, L-A-T-A-N-Y-A,

1 F-L-E-M-I-N-G.

2 Q. How old are you, Ms. Fleming?

3 A. 50.

4 Q. What do you do for a living?

5 A. I work for CPS and Home Depot.

6 Q. And how long have you had those two jobs  
7 approximately?

8 A. About seven years.

9 Q. Do you have any children?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Who are your children?

12 A. You want their names?

13 Q. Sure.

14 A. Allen Robinson and Precious Robinson.

15 Q. And is Allen Robinson the petitioner in this  
16 case here today?

17 A. I am sorry?

18 Q. Is Allen Robinson the petitioner in the case  
19 you are here to testify about today?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Can you point to him and describe something  
22 he is wearing?

23 THE COURT: You will stipulate to the ID, Counsel?

24 MS. WALLS: Yes.



1 THE COURT: All right. That will be accepted.

2 MR. BLEGEN: Thank you, Judge.

3 THE WITNESS: The blue shirt on.

4 BY MR. BLEGEN:

5 Q. Ma'am, where do you live generally, meaning  
6 what city do you live in?

7 A. Chicago.

8 Q. Let me direct your attention to December 3rd  
9 of 2008. Do you recall where you were working at that  
10 time?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Where?

13 A. Dominick's.

14 Q. Dominick's grocery store?

15 A. Yes, Dominick's grocery store.

16 Q. Were you working at a particular store?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Which one?

19 A. On Madison and Halsted.

20 Q. And do you recall what your schedule for work  
21 was that day, December 3rd of 2008?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What was your schedule?

24 A. 2:30 to 9:00.

1 Q. P.m.?

2 A. P.m., yes.

3 Q. How did you get to work that day?

4 A. My son Allen Robinson dropped me off.

5 THE COURT: I am sorry, could you say that again?  
6 I couldn't hear you.

7 THE WITNESS: My son Allen Robinson dropped me off  
8 to work.

9 BY MR. BLEGEN:

10 Q. And approximately what time did he drop you  
11 off?

12 A. About 2:30 or 2:25.

13 Q. And what vehicle did he drop you off in?

14 A. In my car.

15 Q. Do you recall what kind of car you had at the  
16 time?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What kind of car?

19 A. A red Monte Carlo.

20 Q. And how were you to get home from work?

21 A. Allen, my son, Allen Robinson was going to  
22 pick me up.

23 Q. What time was he supposed to come pick you  
24 up?

1 A. 9:00 o'clock.

2 Q. And did Allen drop you off at approximately  
3 2:25 on that day?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And did he come to pick you up at the end of  
6 your shift?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Tell us what happened.

9 A. Well, I was scheduled to get off at 9:00, but  
10 I had to stay because my manager needed me to stay, and  
11 I stayed till like 10:30.

12 Q. When did you next see Allen after he dropped  
13 you off at approximately 2:25 in the afternoon?

14 A. When he came to pick me up.

15 Q. What time was that?

16 A. At 9:00 o'clock.

17 Q. And did the two of you have an interaction?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What did you say to him and what did he say  
20 to you?

21 A. When he came into the store and I told him I  
22 had to end up staying till like later 10:30.

23 Q. And did you tell him to do anything?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. What did you tell him to do?

2 A. I asked him to just wait in the car.

3 Q. Wait in the car where?

4 A. Wait in the parking lot.

5 Q. And I take it did you work until your shift  
6 ended at 10:30?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Where was Allen when your shift ended?

9 A. In the car.

10 Q. In the parking lot?

11 A. In the parking lot.

12 Q. In the Dominick's parking lot?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. After you learned that Allen was charged with  
15 a crime that occurred on December 3rd of 2008, did you  
16 do anything related to your work records?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What did you do?

19 A. I asked my manager to printout my schedule.

20 Q. And why did you do that?

21 A. Because it's something I needed.

22 Q. Related to Allen's case?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Let me show you what's part of Allen's

1 post-conviction petition, Exhibit D and see if you  
2 recognize these documents. Do you recognize Exhibit D,  
3 your affidavit?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And the next page of Exhibit D, do you  
6 recognize that document?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. What is it?

9 A. That's the timesheet.

10 Q. All right. And is that the timesheet that  
11 your manager printed out for you?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Does the timesheet indicate on it when it was  
14 printed out?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And when was it printed out?

17 A. March 30th, 2009.

18 Q. And is that noted in the upper left corner of  
19 that of the first page of the timecard report?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And does the timecard report indicate your  
22 work schedule, the hours you actually worked on that  
23 day?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. And do you see a reference a little bit it's  
2 marked out but it's in the center and it's 12/03/08?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Do you see that? And when does it indicate  
5 you started working on that day?

6 A. 2:30.

7 Q. Does it actually say -- is it in military  
8 time on the timesheet?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So it says 14:30?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So it's got REG, do you know what stands for?

13 A. Regular schedule.

14 Q. And then the next one --

15 THE COURT: Hold on one second. REG, regular  
16 schedule.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Thank you.

19 BY MR. BLEGEN:

20 Q. The next line down says BRK?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What does that mean?

23 A. Break.

24 Q. So does that mean you were on break at

1 certain times?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And at the bottom when does it indicate that  
4 your shift ended that day?

5 A. 10:12 -- I am sorry, 10:30.

6 Q. But is it in military time as 22:30?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. The third page of exhibits behind your  
9 affidavit, do you recognize the third page of exhibits?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Is that a document entitled Weekly Work  
12 Schedule?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What is that document?

15 A. That's the schedule that's printed out  
16 weekly.

17 Q. And is that the schedule that you were  
18 supposed to work on December 3rd of 2008?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Who printed this document for you, do you  
21 recall?

22 A. Yes, my manager.

23 Q. And do you recall whether your manager  
24 printed it at the same time as the other document was

1 printed?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So you think it was also printed on  
4 March 30th of 2009?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you can see here that there is a  
7 reference to December 3rd of 2008?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you see that? And then do you see your  
10 name about halfway down the sheet?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. When does it indicate that your hours were  
13 supposed to be on that day?

14 A. 2:30 to 9:00 p.m.

15 Q. And that was the schedule that got amended  
16 when your manager asked you to stay late that day?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Did you have interactions with Allen's lawyer  
19 leading up to his trial in this case?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. How many times do you think you spoke to the  
22 lawyer?

23 A. I don't recall.

24 Q. More than once?



1           A.       Yes, more than once.

2           Q.       More than five times?

3           A.       More than five.

4           Q.       Did you present these records from your work  
5 to Allen's lawyer?

6           A.       Yes, I did.

7           Q.       Prior to Allen's trial?

8           A.       Yes.

9           Q.       Did you discuss with the lawyer prior to  
10 trial your statements about Allen coming to pick you up  
11 at work and you having to stay later, et cetera?

12          A.       Yes.

13          Q.       What you just told us here today?

14          A.       I brought it up to him.

15          Q.       Do you recall what his response was?

16          A.       That he was just -- I just gave it to him,  
17 and he was going to submit it, you know, into his, you  
18 know.

19           THE COURT: I am sorry, you gave it to him and  
20 then --

21           THE WITNESS: Just gave it to him, that's it, yes.

22           THE COURT: About submitting, I missed that.

23           BY MR. BLEGEN:

24           Q.       He was going to submit it did you say?

1           A.       Well, me just giving it to him so he was  
2 taking a look at the documents. You know, I presented  
3 it to him.

4           Q.       Do you recall if he said anything specific to  
5 you about what he was going to do with them?

6           A.       No.

7           Q.       Do you have any understanding as to why you  
8 were not called at Allen Robinson's trial?

9           A.       No.

10          Q.       Were you present during the trial?

11          A.       Yes.

12          Q.       Did you have discussions with the lawyer  
13 during the trial?

14          A.       As to?

15          Q.       Did he discuss with you why you weren't being  
16 called?

17          A.       No.

18          Q.       Let me show you what's attached to Allen  
19 Robinson's petition as Exhibit J. I will just take it  
20 up. You can look through the couple of pages and just  
21 let me know if you recognize this exhibit.

22          A.       Yes.

23          Q.       And what is it?

24          A.       A letter from Lamarius Robinson.

1 Q. And is this a letter from Lamarius that you  
2 received sometime after the trial was over?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. And is the letter essentially ask you  
5 to forward this letter to Allen Robinson?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. If you had been called to testify at Allen's  
8 original trial, would you have testified consistently  
9 with what you said here today?

10 A. Yes.

11 MR. BLEGEN: Can I have just have a moment, Judge?

12 THE COURT: Sure.

13 MR. BLEGEN: That's all the questions we have.

14 THE COURT: Any cross?

15 MS. WALLS: No, Judge.

16 THE COURT: All right. You may step down, ma'am.  
17 Thank you. Give me one second.

18 MR. BLEGEN: Judge, any issue with Mr. Robinson's  
19 mother remaining in the courtroom while the hearing  
20 proceedings?

21 THE COURT: State, do you have any objection on  
22 the motion to exclude or are you all right with the  
23 defendant's mom staying in the courtroom?

24 MS. WALLS: No objections.

1           THE COURT: All right. She may remain in the  
2 courtroom.

3           MR. BLEGEN: Thank you, Judge.

4           THE COURT: I have a question for the parties  
5 because I can't recall and you've reviewed the record  
6 of the trial more recently than the Court has. I  
7 generally, although I can't say I do it in every case,  
8 but I generally go through the answer to discovery  
9 that's on file, be it, you know, if it's beyond a  
10 reasonable doubt, and I have a colloquy with the  
11 defendant to make certain there is no alibi defense  
12 they want to file or any other defense, and I view  
13 those to witnesses.

14           Do either of you have a recollection, State  
15 or Defense, as to whether that colloquy was in the  
16 record of the trial proceedings?

17           MR. GARVEY: Not to my recollection.

18           MS. WALLS: No, Judge. The only note that I have  
19 was from the CLR, which was the actual answer that was  
20 filed but nothing indicating that you spoke with the  
21 defendant.

22           THE COURT: But the review -- sometimes I don't  
23 put it in my notes but reviewing the record, nobody saw  
24 that. It may not have happened in this case, but I

1 generally attempt to do that so I just wanted to know  
2 if you had come across it.

3 All right. Anything further?

4 MR. BLEGEN: Judge, we will call Mr. Robinson.

5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Robinson, come on up.  
6 Mr. Robinson, I am going to ask you before you sit down  
7 to raise your right hand.

8 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly  
9 sworn.)

10 THE COURT: Thank you. You can take a seat, sir.

11 When you're ready, Counsel, you can go ahead.

12 ALLEN ROBINSON,  
13 called as a witness herein, having been first duly  
14 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. BLEGEN:

17 Q. Sir, could you please state and spell your  
18 first and last name for the court reporter?

19 A. Allen Robinson, A-L-L-E-N, R-O-B-I-N-S-O-N.

20 Q. And how old are you?

21 A. 34.

22 Q. And you currently reside in the Department of  
23 Corrections?

24 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Prior to the trial of your case, did you tell  
2 or ask your trial counsel to speak with any potential  
3 witnesses?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did you ask your trial counsel to speak with  
6 Jenee Moreland?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What did you tell your trial counsel as best  
9 you can recall why Ms. Moreland would be an important  
10 witness?

11 A. Because she was in the car with Lamarius  
12 pretty much after he did it.

13 Q. Did you tell your counsel that Lamarius had  
14 confessed to Ms. Moreland?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And how did you know that information?

17 A. Jenee told me.

18 Q. Did you ask your trial counsel to speak with  
19 Quentin Davis?

20 A. Yes, I did.

21 Q. Why?

22 A. Because he was with Lamarius pretty much  
23 after he did it and confessed to him that he did it.

24 Q. Was there an occasion where you and Lamarius

1 got in an argument at Quentin's grandmother's home?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And was Quentin present for that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did he essentially have to put the two of you  
6 out of the house?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. I take it you are familiar with the letter  
9 that is signed at least in Lamarius Robinson's name  
10 there is a spot where he said I licked this so my DNA  
11 would be on it. Do you know how your lawyer came to  
12 get that letter?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. How?

15 A. I had called him and told him I needed to see  
16 him so he came to Cook County and seen me and I gave  
17 him a letter.

18 Q. And maybe this wasn't necessary but did you  
19 explain the significance of the letter to your lawyer?

20 A. Yeah, he read it.

21 Q. Did you indicate whether anybody was familiar  
22 with Lamarius' handwriting?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. To your lawyer?

1           A.       Yes.

2           Q.       Who?

3           A.       I told him that Quentin Davis and Jenee  
4 Moreland.

5           Q.       What about yourself, did you tell him?

6           A.       Yeah, myself too.

7           THE COURT: Just so I'm clear, you talked to  
8 Lamarius, and you told Lamarius to come and see you.

9           THE WITNESS: No.

10          THE COURT: You told your lawyer to come and see  
11 you?

12          THE WITNESS: My lawyer came to see me.

13          THE COURT: Okay. That Lamarius had mailed the  
14 letter to you.

15          THE WITNESS: Mailed the letter to me, yes.

16          THE COURT: All right.

17          MR. BLEGEN: Thank you, Judge. I am sorry if that  
18 was confusing.

19          THE COURT: All right.

20 BY MR. BLEGEN:

21          Q.       Just so the record is clear, it's your  
22 understanding that Lamarius sent the letter to you  
23 while you were in the County Jail, correct?

24          A.       Yes.



1           Q.     And then you asked your lawyer to come to see  
2 you so you could talk to him about the letter?

3           A.     Yes.

4           Q.     And did you get a copy of the letter to your  
5 lawyer?

6           A.     I gave it to him. I gave him the original  
7 copy.

8           Q.     Did you tell your lawyer at the time anything  
9 about your mother and testimony that she could provide?

10          A.     Yes.

11          Q.     What did you tell your lawyer?

12          A.     I told him that my mother knew that I was at  
13 her job at the time because I had to pick her up at  
14 9:00 o'clock. I went in and told her that I was  
15 outside, and she told me she had to stay a little  
16 longer and I waited outside for her.

17          Q.     So there came a point in time in your  
18 trial -- let me start over.

19                 Did there come a point in time in your trial  
20 where you realized that your lawyer was not going to  
21 call any of these witnesses that you suggested to him?

22          A.     Yes, and I was kind of upset. He said that  
23 he didn't need to call them because he will win the  
24 case without them.

1 Q. Did you and your lawyer have any discussions  
2 about the letter from Lamarius Robinson, the one where  
3 he circles a spot and says he licked it after Lamarius  
4 took the Fifth Amendment, do you recall that first off?

5 A. I kind of recall that.

6 Q. Did you have any discussions with your lawyer  
7 after that?

8 A. You talking about after the trial?

9 Q. Let me start over. Terrible questions.  
10 Do you recall at a point in your trial it  
11 became clear that Lamarius Robinson was going to not  
12 testify about that letter?

13 A. Yeah, I did.

14 Q. And did you have a discussion with your  
15 lawyer after it became clear that Lamarius was not  
16 going to testify about the letter?

17 A. I told him -- like I really don't understand  
18 what you are asking.

19 THE COURT: I think what he's saying is, you  
20 remember being in court and Lamarius was here.  
21 Everybody saw him.

22 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

23 THE COURT: And he, in fact, took the stand but  
24 not in front of the jury.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember.

2 THE COURT: He got a lawyer appointed to him  
3 because the allegation was he might have been involved  
4 in this?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 THE COURT: In the murder himself.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Okay. So when he took the Fifth  
9 Amendment or he wasn't going to testify -- well, first  
10 of all, on the stand he did say, did he not, that he  
11 didn't do the murder, right? Did he say that or am I  
12 wrong or he didn't write the letter?

13 MS. WALLS: You asked him directly, Lamarius,  
14 directly if he wrote the letter and he said no.

15 THE COURT: Okay. That's what I mean.

16 So you heard Lamarius say he didn't write the  
17 letter, right?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 THE COURT: And then he wasn't going to testify,  
20 so your lawyer wants to know, once that went down in  
21 the courtroom, what did you and your lawyer talk about  
22 with respect to Lamarius? Is that what you're asking  
23 him?

24 MR. BLEGEN: Yes. Maybe I can be more pointed

1 about it.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 BY MR. BLEGEN:

4 Q. At that time did your lawyer ask you, do you  
5 know anyone who knows his handwriting?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And what did you tell him?

8 A. I told him Quentin Davis and Jenee Moreland,  
9 and I knew it too. I knew that was his handwriting.

10 Q. Did you ever get an explanation from your  
11 lawyer as to why he didn't call any of those people to  
12 authenticate the handwriting?

13 A. No.

14 MR. BLEGEN: That's all, Judge.

15 THE COURT: Any cross-examination?

16 MS. WALLS: No, Judge.

17 THE COURT: All right. You may step down.

18 Any further witnesses for the defense?

19 MR. BLEGEN: No other witnesses, Judge.

20 THE COURT: So the defense is resting on the  
21 petition?

22 MR. BLEGEN: Before we rest, you may have said  
23 that they were admitted. I don't recall but can we  
24 move to admit all of the exhibits attached to the

1 petition and to supplements?

2 THE COURT: Counsel for the record for the State,  
3 you've got no objection to these items being admitted,  
4 is that correct?

5 MS. WALLS: No, Judge. No objection.

6 THE COURT: All right. With that, you are  
7 resting?

8 MR. BLEGEN: Yes.

9 THE COURT: State, I presume from our earlier  
10 discussions that you are not putting forth any evidence  
11 on your end, is that correct?

12 MS. WALLS: That's correct, Judge. On the issue  
13 of ineffective assistance of trial counsel, we are not  
14 opposing the post-conviction petition. I have called  
15 trial counsel several times, he is no longer working.  
16 He is retired and living out of the state. He's never  
17 returned my phone calls.

18 THE COURT: So you -- I would say it's highly  
19 unusual in a post-conviction to not have the input of  
20 the trial attorney. It's highly regular to have an  
21 ineffective assistance of counsel issue. That's not  
22 unusual in a post-conviction proceeding, but what's  
23 highly unusual is not to have the attorney of record  
24 weigh in in some fashion. So you've never been able to

1 speak with him at all?

2 MS. WALLS: No, Judge.

3 THE COURT: Did he refuse to talk to you or he  
4 just never returned a phone call?

5 MS. WALLS: He's never returned my phone calls,  
6 Judge. I never spoke to him.

7 THE COURT: Were any investigators ever sent to  
8 try and find him?

9 MS. WALLS: We know where he is, Judge. It's not  
10 a matter of us not knowing where he is. He's never  
11 cooperated with our office on this. We have no  
12 opposition on the ineffectiveness claims with regards  
13 to that.

14 I will also point out the location of where  
15 Ms. Fleming works, that Dominick's, is seven and a half  
16 miles from the location of the shooting -- sorry, 7.8  
17 miles from the location of the shooting. The  
18 information in the post-conviction petition, there is  
19 an affidavit that has been entered from the co-worker,  
20 Ms. Ethel Lewis that has also corroborated what Ms.  
21 Fleming has said that her son Allen Robinson was there  
22 at 9:00 o'clock to pick her up, which puts Allen  
23 Robinson at that location almost eight miles away from  
24 the shooting at 9:00 o'clock. According to the

1 reports, the shooting occurred at 9:10 p.m.

2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel, and I  
3 also want to talk a little bit beyond just strict  
4 ineffective assistance of counsel issue, and that is  
5 that this case obviously is a very serious case. All  
6 post-convictions are, but you can't get a more serious  
7 case than first degree murder without question. And  
8 this was in your Conviction Integrity Unit. The  
9 defense had presented these allegations specifically  
10 the Lamarius -- I will call it the Lamarius letter  
11 allegations, the defense had identified Lamarius as  
12 their suspect and that was in your unit for a very  
13 significant period of time. As I said, I think  
14 22 months was the time frame that it was there, and  
15 throughout the pendency of that time, there was related  
16 to the Court in any event on the record and I will go  
17 through it, while it was in your CIU Unit and that's  
18 purported to be at least as it's been explained to the  
19 Court, it's walled off from the Post-Conviction Unit,  
20 is that an accurate statement?

21 MS. WALLS: Yes, they look at different issues.

22 THE COURT: They look at whether there is an  
23 actual innocence claim, and that's separate and apart  
24 from you proceeding on a post-conviction, correct?

1 MS. WALLS: Correct. Yes.

2 THE COURT: And I'm showing that that came in, it  
3 was filed on 7/10 of 2014, and the case went to the  
4 Conviction Integrity Unit about six months later. It  
5 was just with the regular Post-Conviction Unit, and  
6 then it was sent on 1/15 of '15 to the Conviction  
7 Integrity Unit. Then there was a filing of the  
8 supplemental petition, but this was all with the CIU  
9 Unit appearing in court and weighing in.

10 The State was looking into as early as 12/8  
11 of '15, the DNA on a letter from Lamarius Robinson, and  
12 then we went on holding it over for DNA updates  
13 3/24/16, DNA updates, witness interviews, phone record  
14 investigation, at least that's the notes what was  
15 placed of record.

16 On 5/12 of '16, I was told biology was done.  
17 There had been a DNA analyst assigned. Update on a  
18 police witness that they were attempting to interview.  
19 Then I was told on 7/28 of '16 the CIU Unit  
20 investigation was complete, and we had another few  
21 court dates for 4-17 materials and one last interview  
22 it sounded like and then on 11/10 of '16, the CIU Unit  
23 after looking at the letter with Lamarius, which is at  
24 issue here, sent it back to the Post-Conviction Unit



1 and their finding, which is obviously the Court is not  
2 bound by, but their finding was no actual innocence was  
3 involved after that what appeared to be an extensive  
4 investigation.

5 MS. WALLS: Well, Judge, I don't know if on that  
6 last court date that was stated in court according to  
7 the half sheet ASA Barbara Plitz was in court on that  
8 day. She was from the Post-Conviction Unit. Just  
9 according to the half sheet, it indicates that the PC  
10 was given back to the Post-Conviction Unit.

11 I don't know if anyone from the CIU Unit was  
12 present in court on that day or made any findings on  
13 the record as to their investigation.

14 THE COURT: Well, presumably you would agree with  
15 me that regardless of what exact words came out of  
16 somebody's mouth, that when the CIU Unit completes an  
17 investigation and puts it back in your lap, they have  
18 not found actual innocence, is that accurate?

19 MS. WALLS: It could be, Judge. I don't know what  
20 their basis was for giving it back to us. I did not  
21 get the case until after that. I don't know what their  
22 basis was to giving it back to us but --

23 THE COURT: Let me ask the defense then. If you  
24 are not going to give me that, I will ask the defense.

1           Ms. Garvey, I presume that you were in close  
2 contact with the attorney on the CIU Unit during all  
3 these dates as they were pursuing the investigation,  
4 you requested of Lamarius' letter, I will call it,  
5 correct? And what was their position when they told  
6 you they are giving it back to the regular  
7 Post-Conviction Unit?

8           MS. GARVEY: First of all, I didn't request CI to  
9 get involved in this case.

10          THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for that correction.

11          MS. GARVEY: It was early on in the formation of  
12 that Unit, and apparently they were taking any  
13 post-conviction at that time that alleged actual  
14 innocence so I did not ask them to get involved.

15          THE COURT: All right. Thanks for that  
16 correction.

17          MR. GARVEY: And at the end after they had  
18 interviewed all of my witnesses, I was merely told,  
19 yeah, we are not doing anything in this case.

20          THE COURT: Did you as a lawyer who practices in  
21 this field, did you take that to mean -- although I am  
22 sure you disagreed with it strongly -- but did you take  
23 that to mean that their finding was of no actual  
24 innocence, and it was just going to go back to the

1 regular PC route and you could fight it out in court?

2 MR. GARVEY: My understanding was that their  
3 definition of actual innocence is a much higher  
4 standard. Basically I would have had to have video  
5 evidence of Mr. Robinson at the Dominick's at the time  
6 of the shooting in order for me to convince them that  
7 he was actually innocent.

8 A third-party confession is not going to meet  
9 their requirements. They are going to look for DNA.  
10 They are going to look for videos of somebody in a  
11 completely different country or location. That's my  
12 professional opinion of how they determine actual  
13 innocence. So I don't take that to mean that he is not  
14 actually innocent that they concluded.

15 THE COURT: Well, I know you're not agreeing --  
16 let's be clear, I know that you are not in agreement  
17 with whatever their assessment was most certainly  
18 but --

19 MR. GARVEY: My position is their standard for  
20 actual innocence is far and above anything that most  
21 lawyers can meet.

22 THE COURT: All right. And what additional -- so  
23 at that point it went back to the Post-Conviction Unit  
24 which was defending the case and now that's changed.

1                   What else has changed since it went from the  
2                   CIU Unit back to the regular Post-Conviction Unit,  
3                   Ms. Walls, what's changed?

4                   MS. WALLS: Judge, we have done additional  
5                   investigation.

6                   THE COURT: Who have you interviewed and found out  
7                   information that the CIU Unit didn't have? What  
8                   happened?

9                   MS. WALLS: Judge, I'm not at liberty to put that  
10                  on the record.

11                  THE COURT: What part are you're not at liberty to  
12                  put on the record?

13                  MS. WALLS: I will not go into the extent and the  
14                  results of our investigation on the record, your Honor.

15                  The actual innocence claim is with regards to  
16                  Oscar Russell that's currently pending. The  
17                  information in the hearing that you received today is  
18                  all with regards to ineffective assistance of trial  
19                  counsel. And as your Honor will see when you read the  
20                  exhibits, the DNA testing is in those exhibits and did  
21                  indicate that Lamarius Robinson was a contributor to  
22                  the letter.

23                  THE COURT: Okay. All right. I will take it  
24                  under advisement and go through your exhibits.

1                   Are you free on Monday? There is no  
2 additional record, I take it, that you wish to make,  
3 State?

4           MS. WALLS: No, Judge.

5           MR. BLEGEN: Judge, we will make ourselves  
6 available.

7           THE COURT: Monday or Tuesday.

8           MR. BLEGEN: Let's do it Monday. I don't know if  
9 both of us will be able to be here.

10          THE COURT: We could do any day next week. I just  
11 don't need an incredible amount of time is my point.

12          MS. WALLS: Tuesday.

13          MR. BLEGEN: Actually Monday is better for me.  
14 Unfortunately Tuesday I'm tied up in the morning.

15          THE COURT: We can do it in the afternoon. We can  
16 do it at 11:00, 12:00, 1:00.

17          MS. WALLS: I am fine. I can do Monday afternoon  
18 or Tuesday morning or even Thursday after 11:00.

19          MR. BLEGEN: Can we do early afternoon on Monday?

20          THE COURT: Pick a time, yes.

21          MR. BLEGEN: 11:00.

22          THE COURT: All right. Monday at 11:00. All  
23 right. By agreement held on call, Monday's date is  
24 5/2.

1                   As to IDOC, if we write the date May 2nd on  
2 the paperwork that you brought with him, the mittimus,  
3 will that bring him to us or does there have to be some  
4 other phone call made?

5                   DEPUTY SHERIFF: That should bring him.

6                   THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll see everybody  
7 at Monday at 11:00.

8                               (Which were all the proceedings had  
9                               in the above-entitled cause.)

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS )  
2 )  
3 COUNTY OF C O O K )

4 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
5 COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CRIMINAL DIVISION

6 I, CATRICE M. PRINCE, CSR No. 084-3765  
7 an Official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court  
8 of Cook County, County Department, Criminal  
9 Division, do hereby certify that I reported in  
10 shorthand the proceedings had at the hearing in  
11 the aforementioned cause; that I thereafter caused  
12 the foregoing to be transcribed into typewriting,  
13 which I hereby certify to be a true and accurate  
14 transcript taken to the best of my ability of the  
15 Report of Proceedings had before the HONORABLE  
16 MARY M. BROSNAHAN, Judge of said court.

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_

19 Official Court Reporter  
20 Illinois CSR License No. 084-3765

21  
22  
23 Dated this 18th day of August, 2022.

24